Management of a complicated crown-root fracture using adhesive fragment reattachment and orthodontic extrusion
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Abstract – Dental trauma is more common in young patients and its sequelae may impair the establishment and accomplishment of an adequate treatment plan. This paper reports a case of complicated crown-root fracture in a young adult that was treated using adhesive tooth fragment reattachment and orthodontic root extrusion. Considering the time elapsed to follow up, the fracture extension, the amount of remaining root portion and the patient’s low socioeconomic status, the treatment approach proposed for this case provided good functional and aesthetic outcomes. Clinical and radiographic results after 2 years were successful. This case report demonstrates the importance of establishing a multidisciplinary approach for a successful dental trauma management.

Case report

A 21-year-old female victim of motorcycle accident was referred to the Oral and Maxillofacial Traumatology and Surgery Service of the Dental School of Aracatuba (UNESP, Brazil) after receiving first-aid medical care. The patient presented with abrasions in the region of the nose and chin, soft-tissue laceration in the upper lip and a complicated crown-root fracture with pulp exposure in the maxillary left central incisor (Fig. 1). Part of the coronal fragment was in place, attached to the gingival. The incisal coronal fragment, which was the largest portion, was found at the site of accident right after the first-aid care and was maintained in saline storage until the following treatment session (Fig. 2). Periapical radiographs taken from different angulations revealed an oblique root fracture that extended approximately 2 mm intraosseous in the distal aspect. The maxillary left central incisor presented enamel cracks and the neighbouring teeth presented absence of clinical and radiographic findings (Fig. 3).

The emergency dental care aimed to alleviate the pain and discomfort. The coronal fragment was gently removed under local anaesthesia, the soft-tissue laceration was...
treated conservatively with local antiseptic solution, the coronal pulp was curetted, and the pulp chamber received a dressing with a commercially prepared antibiotic-corticosteroid product (Otosporin®, Farmoquimica S/A, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil) and was provisionally sealed with a glass ionomer cement (Vidrion R, SS White, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil). Antibiotic therapy (amoxicillin 500 mg, three times/day; Eurofarma, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) was started and maintained for 7 days. An anti-inflammatory (Potassium diclofenac 50 mg every 8 h for 3 days; Novartis Biocieências SA, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) and an analgesic (Paracetamol 750 mg every 6 h in case of pain; Aventis Pharma Ltd, Suzano, SP, Brazil) were also prescribed.

Two days after the emergency visit, an exploratory surgery showed that the fracture complicated crown-root extended deeply subgingivally, invading the biological width in the distal aspect and reaching 2 mm below the bone crest (Fig. 4). In the same session, the coronal fragment maintained in saline storage was reattached to the root remnant using an adhesive restorative technique with a total-etch adhesive system (Single Bond; 3M/ESPE, St Paul, MN, USA) and a light-cured microhybrid composite resin (TPH, Dentsply Ind. e Com. Ltda, Petrópolis, RJ, Brazil). The restoration of the fractured crown was completed with composite resin (Fig. 5). Due to the loss of tooth structure, a bevel was made on the remaining root portion to increase fragment retention. An adequate adaptation of the incisal fragment to the

Fig. 1. Pre-operative clinical view.

Fig. 2. Incisal coronal fragment.

Fig. 3. Pre-operative periapical radiograph.

Fig. 4. Clinical aspect during the exploratory surgery showing the extension of the complicated crow-root fracture, invading the biological width in the distal aspect.

Fig. 5. Clinical aspect after adhesive coronal fragment reattachment.
distal aspect of the apical fragment was not possible due to the invasion of the biological width (Fig. 6). Endodontic therapy started with removal of pulp tissue from the remaining root portion under copious 1% sodium hypochlorite irrigation (Milton solution, Asfer-Industria Quimica Ltda, São Caetano do Sul, SP, Brazil), instrumentation and placement of a calcium hydroxide-based intracanal dressing for 30 days. Definitive root canal obturation was performed thereafter with lateral condensation of gutta-percha points (Tanari; Tanariman Industrial Ltda., Manacapuru, AM, Brazil) and Sealapex root canal sealer (Kerr Corp., Orange, CA, USA), leaving the coronal third unfilled.

Orthodontic extrusion was performed using a fixed appliance to re-establish the biological width. A 0.028-inch round stainless steel orthodontic wire (Dental Morelli, Sorocaba, SP, Brazil) was fixed with light-cured composite resin to the palatal surface of the maxillary right central incisor and maxillary left lateral incisor, at the incisal third, to provide the necessary anchorage for tooth movement (Fig. 7). A 0.012-inch stainless steel wire (Dental Morelli) was bent to form a 2-mm diameter loop with a 4-mm radicular extension, which was further roughened with diamond disks to provide retention and was cemented with glass ionomer cement (Vidrion R, SS White) in the coronal root canal third of the fractured tooth. Next, a 1/8 diameter orthodontic elastic was placed through the coronal loop and tied to the palatal bow fixed between the neighbouring teeth. The elastic string was changed once a week. Orthodontic extrusion was terminated when all root margins were exposed, which was achieved within 21 days. After reestablishment of the biological width, the tooth was splinted with composite resin (TPH, Dentsply) for 12 weeks and a mucoperiostal flap repositioning surgery was performed in the area surrounding the extruded tooth. The treatment was completed with post space preparation and cementation of a metallic radicular post (FKG Dentaire, La-Cheaux-de Fonds, Switzerland) to provide resistance to the fractured tooth. The palatal access was
sealed with composite resin and tooth/composite surfaces were repaired with composite resin, polished and adjusted for occlusal interferences.

Clinical and radiographic follow up after 24 months showed a good adaptation of the tooth fragment/composite resin restoration, absence of radiographic signs of root resorption and absence of painful symptoms (Figs 8 and 9).

Discussion

One of the determinant factors for the functional and aesthetic success in the management of complicated crown-root fractures is the adoption of a multidisciplinary approach involving surgery, endodontics, periodontics and prosthodontics (6). The literature shows that coronal restoration of teeth with crown-root fracture is usually challenging, especially when the fracture extends below the bone level (9), as occurred in the present case. Different treatment strategies have been proposed for cases of complicated crown-root fracture including surgical exposure of the fractured surface, orthodontic or surgical extrusion of the apical fragment, intentional replantation and tooth extraction in more severe cases (1, 4–8, 10, 11).

Orthodontic extrusion was the treatment of choice to re-establish the biological width in this case because it is considered a safe procedure with respect to the occurrence of root resorption and does not involve loss of periodontal support or bone tissue of the surrounding teeth, which favours aesthetics (12–14).

Although adhesive fragment reattachment cannot be considered a durable procedure for the management of extensive fractures (5), this technique offers an effective and conservative treatment option in some cases because this technique shortens the operative time and improves the reproduction of tooth colour, shape and contour (15).

On the other hand, the reconstruction of a fractured tooth with composite resin is a good alternative for young patients, having the advantage of preserving the remaining root portion and providing an immediate resolution to the case, which is particularly important for patients that cannot afford a prosthetic rehabilitation (16). In the present case, composite resin reconstruction was associated with tooth fragment reattachment. The reduced resistance of the restored tooth to the masticatory efforts was compensated by the cementation of an intracanal retainer. Although the use of the tooth fragment reattachment technique is not common in these cases, it is important that dentists warn their patients on the importance of collecting fragments of teeth fractured due to traumatic injuries and instruct them on the use of adequate wet storage medium, so that the fragments can be suitable for reattachment (4).

Considering the time elapsed to follow up, the fracture extension, the amount of remaining root portion and patient’s age, the treatment proposed for this case, combining adhesive tooth fragment reattachment and orthodontic root extrusion, provided good functional and aesthetic outcomes. Clinical and radiographic results after 2 years were successful. This case report demonstrates the importance of establishing a multidisciplinary approach for a successful management of dental trauma.
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